

## THE JOURNAL BUSINESS DIRECTORY.

**CARPET CLEANING.**  
**HOWARD STEIN CARPET CLEANING**  
 and RENOVATING WORK. Tel. 612.  
**FLORISTS.**  
**BERNARD FLORAL COMPANY.**  
 No. 311 Mass. ave., 25 N. Del. st., Tel. 86.  
**LAUNDRIES.**  
**UNION CO-OPERATIVE LAUNDRY.**  
 1234 N. Virginia ave., Phone 1282.  
**MAINTENANCE AND GRATING.**  
**T. M. PURCELL (Mantle, Furnace).**  
 Mass. ave. and Delaware st.  
**THE M. S. HICKORY COMPANY.**  
 Grates and Tiles, New No. 1231 Mass. ave.  
**PATENT LAWYERS.**  
**CHESTER L. HALL-POL.**  
 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
**V. H. LOCKWOOD.**  
 415-417 Lemcke bldg.  
**PLUMBING AND STEAM HEATING.**  
**J. S. FARRELL & CO. (Contractors).**  
 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
**SALES AND LIVERY STABLES.**  
**HORACE WOOD (Carriages, Traps, Buggy, etc.).**  
 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
**SHOW CASES.**  
**WILLIAM WIEGEL.**  
 116 South Pennsylvania st.  
**UNDERTAKERS.**  
**FRANK ELA CHARD.**  
 19 N. Delaware st., Tel. 411, Lady Attendant.  
**WALL PAPERS.**  
**H. C. STEVENS, New Styles Wall Paper.**  
 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
**FURNAL DIRECTORS.**  
**C. E. KRUGER.**  
 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## FURNAL DIRECTORS.

**FLANNERY & BUCHANAN.**  
 420 North Illinois street, near 12th St., Tel. 123.  
 Ladies and children. Office always open. Telephone 411. Hacks at lowest prevailing price.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.  
**WANTED—MALE HELP.**  
 WANTED—Males, must have experience with core work. SLOAN & ALLEN, Middletown, Ind.  
 WANTED—Twenty young men to distribute circulars. Apply Monday morning, 7 o'clock, at 16 North Meridian street.  
 WANTED—An entry and bill clerk; must be good penman; rapid and accurate. Address, with references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 WANTED—Salesmen everywhere; letter copying (no press, no water); big results; university used. INP. MFG. CO., 329 Broadway, New York.  
 WANTED—Men—Our illustrated catalogue explains how we teach the barber, hair dresser, manicurist, etc. Address, with references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 WANTED—Correspondents wanted everywhere to furnish items of interest and local news. Address, with references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 WANTED—Big pay; costly outfit free; no experience needed; want one man in every county; apply check. MANUFACTURERS, 343 Market street, Philadelphia.  
 WANTED—Reliable men wanted in every county; exclusive rights; 10 weeks guaranteed; chance for advancement; with most reliable article in market. THE PLYMOUTH COMPANY, 44 Murray street, New York.  
 WANTED—The Wm. E. Block Co. want a young man as floorwalker; only those having at least one year's experience in same capacity in department store need apply. Answer by letter, or, if in person, at 8:15 a. m.  
 WANTED—Government positions—Don't prepare for any civil-service or census examination without seeing our catalogue of information; sent free. COLUMBIAN CORRESPONDENCE COLLEGE, Washington, D. C.  
 WANTED—For full trade, salesman wanted who sells the country retail trade to take our market. Address, with references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 WANTED—To work in typewriter factory; steady work; with most reliable article in market. Address, with references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 WANTED—Female help.  
 WANTED—Two girls, one for general housework, one for upstairs work; no washing nor ironing. No night hours. Address, with references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 WANTED—Lady of fair education for permanent traveling position; references required. CLARK & CO., 234 South Fourth street, Philadelphia.  
 WANTED—Colored girl for general housework; who is a good cook. Apply by letter and send references to MUNICIPAL CO., Muncie, Ind.  
 WANTED—SALESMEN.  
 WANTED—Twenty-five first-class clothing, hat and furnishing goods salesman. Apply Monday morning, 8 a. m., at 19 North Meridian street.  
 WANTED—To be a per day to experienced traveling salesman by a reliable, old-established house; references required. AMERICAN NOVELTY COMPANY, Cincinnati, O.  
 WANTED—Reliable salesman for best specialty on the market; staple article having steady sale and increasing demand; no commission; no samples required. Address MANUFACTURER, 326 Majestic Building, Detroit, Mich.  
 HELP WANTED.  
 WANTED—Man or lady to travel and appoint agents; established firm; 10 per cent. and all expenses to start. MANUFACTURER, Box 25, Chicago, Ill.  
 WANTED—AGENTS.  
 WANTED—Agents—Steady and local. Inexpensive covers; no gross stores; best frying; terms liberal. KITCHEN SPECIALTY CO., Reading, Pa.  
 AGENTS WANTED—We offer a very attractive side line for insurance agents. Address GEO. W. LEWIS, Sec'y, 1019 Chapel street, New Haven, Conn.  
 AGENTS WANTED—A side line that pays; an entirely new plan to remove scale from steam boilers and direct trade to scale at clearing time; absolutely guaranteed not to injure boiler. Address, with references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 WANTED—MISCELLANEOUS.  
 WANTED—To buy modern house, South Side, from owner, for cash. A. 901 Journal.  
 AGENTS WANTED—Manufacture the goods you sell; best of 400 formulas for making salable goods, postpaid for 16c; stamps taken. ALTA MANUFACTURING CO., St. Louis, Mo.  
 WANTED—To do your painting, and want to do it; I know more about how to do painting than I do about running a bank or preaching a gospel, so if you want to keep me at my line send me to the Plaza Hotel and drop me a post card to Postoffice Box 11, Indianapolis, Ind. of references; twenty-five years' experience. C. Q. SCHUBERT.  
 WANTED—A competent field man to organize Indians for a reliable fraternal insurance association; with desirable and profitable business; experience not absolutely necessary; intelligence and perseverance are; an excellent opportunity for the right party. Address, with references and experience, if any, Postoffice Box 33, Richmond, Va.  
 WANTED—SITUATION.  
 SITUATION WANTED—Young man of thirty-five years, position of any kind; twelve years' experience as manager of Eastern department of a large mail order house; references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 OPTICIAN—Dr. Emerson Drury, specialist in eye diseases, with modern instruments, eye relieved. Glasses furnished. Office, 2294 Massachusetts avenue.  
 BUSINESS CHANCE.  
 INVEST \$500, securing large weekly income. Safe, conservative proposition. 25 successful years. Statistics from H. GRIFP, 110 Broadway, New York.  
 BUSINESS CHANCE—400 put you in manufacturing business in your locality; factory corner; a money maker. Write UNITY MFG. CO., 124 Lake street, Chicago.  
 BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY.  
 BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY—\$500 will secure control of a business that will net \$2,000 a year; best thing in the market today; conducted from office through agents. H. A. RAYGUE, Bates House.  
 WANTED—Partner with \$5,000 cash in strictly legitimate business; pays 10 per cent. profit; closest investigation solicited; will give and receive full Indianapolis references; chance to clear \$10,000 per annum. Address XXX, care Journal.  
 LOST.  
 Lost—suitcase, containing \$100, lost on May 17, 1900, side: L. J. to J. E. C. Return to 2223 College avenue and receive reward.  
 NOTICE.  
 NOTICE—The Central Mineral Spring Sanitarium, at Spencer, Ind., makes a specialty in treating rheumatism, neuralgia, and nervous diseases. Best facilities; low prices.  
 CLAIRVOYANT.  
 CLAIRVOYANT—Mrs. Griswold reads past, present and future of all persons. Address, with references, stating salary, M. A. W., care Journal, 123 to 125 Stevenson bldg., 15 E. Wash. st.  
 KEELY INSTITUTES.  
 The Plainfield Keely Institute successfully treats and radically cures neuritis, morphine and cocaine addiction. Indianapolis office, 114 Commercial City building. All business strictly private and confidential. Tel. No. 247.  
 A Stolen Mooreville Horse.  
 George Smith, fifteen years of age, was arrested yesterday by Detectives Dugan and Asch. A horse was stolen from Mooreville, September last and was found a few days ago in a stable on New York street. It was traced that Smith, who lived at No. 233 East North street, claimed ownership and he was arrested.  
 After considerable questioning the detectives got a confession of guilt from the lad. He said he had stolen the horse from a farm near Mooreville. One day he was out on the road a mile or so away and saw a horse and buggy hitched to the fence. He in the field was a man working. His back was turned and he got in the buggy and drove to the city. Here he turned the outfit over to his father.  
 Boy Struck in the Neck.  
 John Shultz, twelve years old, living at No. 119 Kansas street, was taken to the City Dispensary, yesterday, by his father, to have a wound in his neck dressed. He said he was walking in the city near his home when he was struck by something, he knew not what. The wound was a small hole, similar to that which might be made by a small bullet. The boy said he heard no shot.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## CHURCH NOTICES.

**CONGREGATIONAL.**  
**PLYMOUTH CHURCH.**—Southeast corner New York and Madison streets. Rev. F. E. Dewhurst, pastor. Services Sunday morning, 10:45; Sunday evening, 7:30, under auspices of the McCulloch Club. Mr. Dewhurst will deliver an address entitled "Puritanism and the Future of the Church" on the "Great Religious Epoch" series.

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

## GOVERNOR MOUNT IS NOT AFRAID THEY ARE ILLEGAL.

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property by the frontage and not according to the benefits the property derived from the improvement. The court held that the assessment could not exceed the amount of benefits derived by any property from the improvement contemplated. To the opinion, however, Justices Brewer, Gray and Shiras dissented. Governor Mount has replied to the letter from Mayor Colfax of South Bend, in which an extra session was asked. Mayor Colfax said that large bond buyers would not purchase the Barrett bonds and that the city of South Bend is tied up by reason of this condition of affairs. Governor Mount replied that he would not take a special session. Speaking of the situation yesterday, he said: "It is not necessary to call an extra session and I will not even consider it. In the first place, the opinion of the Supreme Court is the law of the land and the Legislature could not change that law if it met. I do not think the decision referred to has such a sweeping effect. As I understand it, the court merely held that assessments shall not exceed the benefits derived from improvements. In effect, the court held that a person's property cannot be confiscated for a public improvement and that it can be assessed no more than the benefits derived. I do not see that it would do any harm, assuming that such action could be taken, for the Legislature to meet and pass any legislation which would permit the confiscation of any person's property. Then, there is another thing. A special session of the Legislature, under the law, may last for thirty-five days. I will not call a special session."

## BARRETT LAW BONDS

**Will Call No Session of the Legislature—A Broker's Opinion of Them.**  
 A movement is now on foot among the smaller cities of the State to petition Governor Mount to call an extra session of the Legislature to take some action regarding Barrett bonds. The contention of those urging the special session is that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in the case of Norwood against Baker practically declares the bonds invalid. The case referred to grew out of an attempt by the village of Norwood, O., to open a street through the property of a Mrs. Baker and assess the entire cost of street improvement on abutting property